CHESNEE HIGH 795 South Alabama Avenue Chesnee, South Carolina 29323 9-12 High School GRADES 642 Students ENROLLMENT Thomas E. Ezell 864-461-7318 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. James O. Jennings 864-578-0128 Mrs. Connie Smith 864-578-0128 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 17 16 0 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Good	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC
 Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	76.9	N/A	N/A	77.9	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	7.7	N/A	N/A	11.7	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	15.4	N/A	N/A	10.4	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	95.2%	96.0%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	6.0	16.2
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	6.0	16.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	65.0	53.3

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	153	240		
Number of Diplomas	103	189		
Rate	67.3%	79.1%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n %		n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	126	95.2	117	6.0	153	67.3	YES			
Gender										
Male	57	94.7	55	5.5	76	60.5	N/A			
Female	69	95.7	62	6.5	77	74.0	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	107	96.3	100	7.0	125	68.8	N/A			
African-American	16	93.8	14	0.0	23	69.6				
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A			
Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	3	I/S	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	1	I/S	0	N/A	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	114	98.2	109	6.4	134	75.4	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	12	66.7	8	0.0	19	10.5	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	126	95.2	117	6.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	3	I/S	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	126	95.2	117	6.0	149	68.5	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	28	89.3	27	3.7	47	48.9	N/A			
Full-pay meals	98	96.9	90	6.7	106	75.5	N/A			

HSAP PERFORMANCE		_		щ,				-,-	Η,
	Enrollment 1st	ہ / ہے	% Below Basis	g /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced of	Performance Objective	Participation O
		" resting " Tested	MB B	% Basic) Sficie	Vanc			ja / ja
		/ %	Belc	1%	1 %	/ Ad	Prof		
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	7	/ %	/	/ 🖺	/ ~	1 % A	/ [~] õ	/~
9	ish/Langua	ge Arts - S	State Perf	ormance					
All Students	159	97.5	17.1	25.7	36.2	21.1	65.1	YES	YES
Gender	70	00.0	04.7	00.5	44.4	40.7	57.5	NI/A	NI/
Male	78	96.2	24.7	20.5	41.1	13.7	57.5	N/A	N//
Female	81	98.8	10.1	30.4	31.6	27.8	72.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	404	000	40.7	04.0	27.0	04.0	70.0	VEC	YES
White	131	96.9	13.7 30.4	24.2 26.1	37.9 34.8	24.2 8.7	70.2 47.8	YES I/S	YES
African-American Asian/Pacific Islander	3	100.0	1/S	20.1 I/S	34.0 I/S	0.7 I/S	47.6 I/S	1/S	1/3
	2	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/S 1/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan Disability Status	0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	1/3
Not Disabled	127	99.2	8.8	24.8	40.8	25.6	76.0	N/A	N/A
Not Disabled Disabled	32	99.2	55.6	24.8	14.8	25.6 N/A	14.8	I/S	N//
Disabled Migrant Status	J 32	90.0	0.00	29.0	14.0	IN/A	14.0	1/3	1/3
	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Migrant	159	97.5	17.1	25.7	36.2	21.1	65.1	N/A N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant English Proficiency	109	91.5	17.1	20.7	30.2	21.1	00.1	IN/A	IN//
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S]/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	157	97.5	16.0	26.0	36.7	21.3	66.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	137	91.5	10.0	20.0	30.7	21.3	00.0	IN/A	IN//
Subsidized meals	53	96.2	34.0	30.0	30.0	6.0	44.0	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	106	98.1	8.8	23.5	39.2	28.4	75.5	N/A	N/
	•	•	•	•	•	•	10.0	I IN/A	11//
	Mathemati								
All Students	159	98.1	18.3	19.6	40.5	21.6	68.6	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	78	97.4	23.0	16.2	40.5	20.3	67.6	N/A	N/A
Female	81	98.8	13.9	22.8	40.5	22.8	69.6	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	131	97.7	14.4	18.4	42.4	24.8	73.6	YES	YES
African-American	23	100.0	34.8	26.1	30.4	8.7	43.5	I/S	1/:
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	127	99.2	9.6	20.8	43.2	26.4	77.6	N/A	N/
Disabled	32	93.8	57.1	14.3	28.6	N/A	28.6	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status			h	A./.	A./.	A./.	A./.	h	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	159	98.1	18.3	19.6	40.5	21.6	68.6	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	157	98.1	17.2	19.9	41.1	21.9	69.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status		00.5	0.4.6	00.5	40.5	10.5	44.6	\ \/E6	
Subsidized meals	53	96.2	34.0	32.0	18.0	16.0	44.0	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	106	99.1	10.7	13.6	51.5	24.3	80.6	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Chesnee High 4202013 SCHOOL PROFILE **High Schools** Median Our Change from with Students Hiah School Last Year Like Ours School Students (n= 642) Retention rate 10.4% Up from 0.5% 7.6% 9.1% Attendance rate 93.5% Down from 93.7% 96.2% 96.0% Eligible for gifted and talented 3.5% Down from 7.0% 10.1% 5.8% With disabilities other than speech 14.1% Down from 14.4% 13.3% 12.7% 8.1% Down from 11.0% 9.8% Older than usual for grade 7.7% Out-of-school suspensions or 0.3% Down from 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses Enrolled in AP/IB programs 17.8% Down from 19.2% 14.8% 10.2% Successful on AP/IB exams 70.9% 51.6% 53.8% 7.0% 2.6% Annual dropout rate Up from 6.8% 2.7% Career/technology students in 0.0% No change 1.0% 3.6% co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology center 367 Up from 264 540 466 courses Students participating in 2.7% Down from 38.1% 30.5% 25.7% worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering 88.1% Up from 85.3% 78.1% 77.7% core competencies 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.3% Career/technology completers placed Teachers (n= 36) 52.8% 57.5% 52.0% Teachers with advanced degrees Up from 52.5% Continuing contract teachers 80.6% Up from 77.5% 83.6% 82.1% Highly qualified teachers** 89.7% N/A 89.5% 91.4% Teachers with emergency or 0.0% 6.8% 8.6% provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 89.0% Up from 88.9% 88.5% 86.2% 95.8% Teacher attendance rate 94.9% Up from 94.0% 95.3% \$41.505 \$41.060 Average teacher salary Up 2.7% \$41,498 Prof. development days/teacher 9.4 days Up from 8.0 days 10.4 days 10.6 days Principal's years at school Down from 3.0 3.0 3.0 1.0 29.0 to 1 26.4 to 1 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 31.0 to 1 Up from 29.6 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.0% 90.8% 90.0% Dollars spent per pupil* \$5.849 Down 4.6% \$6.156 \$6.310 Percent of expenditures for teacher 52.7% Down from 52.8% 58.3% 57.9% salaries* Opportunities in the arts Excellent No change Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 62.9% Down from 91.5% 89.8% 89.3% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Good N/A Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District** State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools** 95.3% 92.0% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** N/A 91.1%

Highly qualified teachers in this school**

State Objective

65.0%

95.3%

Met State Objective

Yes

No

Student attendance in this school **NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Chesnee High School experienced tremendous success during the 2003-2004 school year. We continued to focus on the academic goals set forth in our strategic plan. The school intensified efforts to increase the first-attempt passing rate for the reading, writing, and math portions of the exit exam. Students targeted for academic assistance based on previous standardized test results received tutorial sessions weekly in the areas where deficiencies existed. Teachers incorporated basic skill exercises, test-taking strategies, and tutorial assistance into English and math lesson plans. Teachers worked together and with departments to make sure all of the state standards were being addressed in the appropriate courses and at the appropriate time in each student's educational career. Emphasis was also placed on end of course testing this year. EOC tests were given in Algebra I and Math Tech II that counted 20% of the student's final grade. EOC tests were also administered in English and Science, but did not count on the student's grade this year. We also started a dual credit class with Spartanburg Technical College in Computer System Technology. All students in this course were successful in receiving college credit.

SAT and ACT skills and strategies were incorporated into every curriculum area allowing students to experience the content and the format of both the SAT and the ACT. SAT and ACT verbal and math tutoring sessions were conducted for students prior to each administration of these tests. All tenth grade students took the PSAT and the PLAN, which are the preliminary SAT and ACT. The students received counseling regarding the results of each test and advice about which college entrance exam would be more compatible with their test-taking strengths. The HSAP test was also administered for the first time this year.

One of the challenges we face is one faced by many schools in South Carolina. We have an increasing student population and limited space for classrooms and activities that accompany the growth. Several teachers are housed in portable classrooms or have no permanent classroom; therefore, they move from room to room throughout the day. These challenges are being addressed through our district's long-range building plan. As a result of our efforts, Chesnee High School expects to improve our exit exam passing rate, college entrance exam scores, graduation rate, and the preparation of our students for post-secondary education and careers.

Thomas E. Ezell, Principal Carol Powell, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	41	105	21			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	95.0%	78.1%	85.7%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.1%	79.0%	85.0%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	87.5%	82.9%	70.0%			
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.			